UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/559,935 | 12/08/2005 | Shin Kurita | DK-US055289 | 1043 |
| | 7590 06/30/200 OUNSELORS, LLP | | EXAMINER | |
| 1233 20TH STE | REET, NW, SUITE 70 | | NGUYEN, HOANG M | |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20036-2680 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3748 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/30/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Commons | 10/559,935 | KURITA ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Hoang M. Nguyen | 3748 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | |
| | -· action is non-final. | | | | |
| <i>,</i> — | , - | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| | ., | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | |
| ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received in Application | on No | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | |
| 8) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/8/05, 1/26/06, 9/11/07</u> . 6) Other: | | | | | |

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 57-176686.

JP 57-176686 discloses a rotary compressor having a roller 8 in which one end surface is larger than the other end surface.

Claims 1, 3, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by JP 08-165995.

JP 08-195995 discloses a rotary compressor having a roller 21 in which one end surface is larger than the other end surface.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 08-165995 in view of JP 1134092 (Souichi). JP 08-165995 discloses all the claimed subject matter as set forth above in the rejection of claim 1, but does not disclose a roller made from sintered alloy. Souichi is relied upon to disclose a roller made from sintered alloy. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to form the roller in JP 08-165995 by sintered alloy as taught by Souichi for the purpose of preventing abrasion.

Application/Control Number: 10/559,935 Page 3

Art Unit: 3748

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 08-165995 in view of JP 2001-099083 (Matsumoto et al). JP 08-165995 discloses all the claimed subject matter as set forth above in the rejection of claim 1, but does not disclose two compressor stages and a closed container. Matsumoto et al is relied upon to disclose a two-stage compressor and a closed container 10. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to form two stage compressor and a closed container in JP 08-165995 as taught by Matsumoto et al for the purpose of achieving higher pressure output with two stages and preventing leakage with said closed container.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sato, and Matsunaga et al disclose rotary compressors having rollers.

Application/Control Number: 10/559,935 Page 4

Art Unit: 3748

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Examiner Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4861. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday--Friday from 12:30 AM to 10:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas E. Denion can be reached on 571-272-4859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Hoang M Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3748

> HOANG NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3748

Hoang Minh Nguyen 7/2/2008